Election Fraud

(Blog 0003 AndrewHadden.com)

God has commissioned me to tell you the truth, only the truth, about the election. I will start with the truth as God expressed it to me. God said to me, "The election." Then he added, "It's rigged – much fraud." But God spoke much more vividly than that, as only he can. It is said, "A picture is worth a thousand words." God can speak in pictures, in fact he can use video, motion pictures, to make something he wants to say extremely clear. God, in scripture, spoke to both rulers and prophets in dreams. God gave me a vivid and detailed dream to set the stage for what he wanted to say.

I awoke about 4:00 AM on the twelfth of January from a vivid and detailed dream like none I have ever had. In the dream, I was in a small country and with people close to the king of that small country. In this country, every contest was rigged so the king would win and it was dangerous not to let him win. In the dream, everything centered around the king and what he wanted, what pleased him – even little games and social events and contests at the end of the day. Even a private race track was constantly secretly modified such that he would win. When I wondered the meaning, I heard, "The election." Then God added, "It's rigged – much fraud."

I am a person who lives my life connected to God in prayer. I do his bidding. That is what life is for. We each have a calling. Part of mine was to prepare for these times. Part of my preparation has been to walk in biblical prophetic gifts for many years. For many years I have, like the biblical Joseph, been gifted by God to interpret dreams. I don't guess, I hear from God, in his mercy, to help people understand dreams from God. I have a track record of giving interpretations that immediately make sense because they are from the author of the dream, even the most symbolic and perplexing dreams. And the interpretations stand the test of time as people see prophetic dreams come to pass or situations they address play out in real life. I don't say this to brag but to help you know if you can trust this interpretation.

Now, God also prepared me for these times with academic and professional skills that can help in these times. I have a minor in math that included calculus and statistics. I also earned professional qualifications as a Certified Public Accountant, a CPA. CPAs assure the public of the reliability of a company's financial statements and people bet billions, including their entire wealth and retirement funds, on the assurances CPAs make. Financial data is not dissimilar from election data, except that election data is much simpler. In both cases, a mass of data is categorized and tabulated, summarized, to produce a final result. Accountants have, for thousands of years, looked after people's wealth and security with their methods of detecting inaccuracy, sloppiness, lack of proper supervision and control, and genuine fraud. If even multibillion dollar companies do not follow good practices, with proper controls, as recommended by CPAs, their business will collapse.

CPAs, and statisticians really, have methods for sampling records to determine within some percentage chance that the whole of the data has the characteristics of the sample. The larger the sample size, the greater the confidence you can have in the nature of the whole. It is easy to assure people that they can have a 95 percent confidence level about the nature of the whole by examining a sufficient sample of the data.

But what if companies destroyed all the data and only handed you their financial statements claiming to accurately report the profit or loss of the company? No CPA would attest to their financial statements, and they could not list on the stock exchanges, and nobody would buy their stock. Well, that is what those that have run our elections in critical swing states are expecting us to do.

Companies have to have "internal controls" in place that protect against fraud or they can't get their financial statements believed. They have to have available for inspection any original transaction data an auditor wants to examine to determine the real results of the company's process of producing final financial results. What if a company kept no records of their individual sales but wanted us to believe their sales total on their financial statements? What if they kept no records of how their money was spent, their expenses? How would we know the executives and employees did not pocket much of the money they took in?

But some of the national elections for president of the United States, and senators, and congressmen, have been run this way – especially in key swing states with automated voting machines and machines that process and tabulate the ballots. They had in use machines that can switch the votes and print new ballots with switched votes – and destroy the original record of what original votes were actually cast. That means no "auditor" of the election can ever go down to the actual records of the votes cast and determine if they really support the claimed vote totals. They have given us un-auditable elections where fraud and vote switching can be rampant and all the evidence of the fraud was destroyed as the fraud is taking place. Why would machines be created that allow that? Because corrupt politicians the world over want to claim free and fair elections but control the results and get in power or stay in power, while a confused public is left bewildered not knowing how to stop it.

And elections need what CPAs would call "internal controls" to prevent fraud. Traditionally, we have had internal controls on elections by allowing all parties to view the ballot processing – from close enough to see what is really happening. But that was missing in this election in key swing states. They made the poll watchers stand too far away to really see what was going on, and blocked their view in other cases. And they removed the poll watchers under false pretenses, and then processed massive batches in the night or early morning that uncharacteristically were extremely one-sided, such that the lead in the election switched while no one could watch.

But this is just the tip of the iceberg. In times past, voters voted in person and had to present a valid government-issued photo ID that would identify them and assure that they are a citizen of the country and the state with a legal right to vote. And they had to do it in their precinct, where they were assigned to vote. Then, the official charged with seeing that the voting was conducted properly made sure that person was legally registered to vote in this election before letting them vote. But this election was not handled with those controls in place, especially in swing states that determined who will be president.

States, especially those with Democrats in power, suddenly allowed mail-in voting. They did not make sure the person actually voting is really the person the ballot was mailed to by examining the person's photo ID. They claimed they would use their signature on the ballot envelope to

match against the signature from their voter registration, but then there was considerable evidence that they were not comparing the signatures – or they used the ballot-processing machines' signature verification technology to do it and set the machine to use an extremely low standard to claim the signatures as having passed the signature validation requirement. And the signature was on the envelope, and the ballot had no signature, and the two were immediately separated such that no "audit" capability existed. So, no one can get to the required evidence to challenge the decision to accept the signatures as matching. This is a perfect situation for pushing through masses of ballots with no real assurance that only legal registered voters voted the ballots mailed out. Again, why would ballot-processing machines have the ability to set the signature comparison standard so low? An answer would be that corrupt politicians want to be able to get fraudulent ballots accepted in mass numbers so they can get or stay in power and never be challenged after the fact when the evidence has been destroyed. And why didn't they print a control number on the envelope and the ballot to link them and allow the election to be audited after the fact?

Then poll observers reported seeing many ballots supposedly mailed in that did not have evidence of having been folded to fit in the required return envelope with the signature. That is evidence of fraud. And they reported ballots that looked like they had been filled in by automated printing or copying. And they reported ballots printed on paper that does not match the paper the state used in printing the official ballots. And please understand that counterfeit currency is often detected by determining that it was not printed on the same paper as government-issued currency, and it is first detected by people that are used to the feel of real money, such that they spot the counterfeit right away by the feel of it.

I cannot list here all of the evidence of election fraud in this election, especially in swing states, but others have. The Epoch times, on their web site, cared enough about the issue to allow free access to their web site so the public could see the evidence and the testimony of real experts. I read many, many of the accounts. **There is most certainly evidence of widespread fraud in this election and it is most certainly enough to have swung the election.** I will not back down and I will call anyone a liar – or deceived – who says otherwise. I have the expertise to judge the matter and have seen the first-person testimonies and the reports and analysis of those that would qualify as expert witnesses in a court of law.

The American people are being deceived by the media that are saying there is "no evidence" or "no widespread evidence" of fraud in this election. They are lying to the American people and trying to control the election. And big tech and social media giants are trying to get the voice of the President of the United States silenced because he is telling the American people the truth. I watched his entire speech on January sixth and he presented a good and fair summary of all the fraud in this election, fraud sufficient to tip the results of the election. I invite the American people to listen to his speech. I found it on the website of The Epoch Times as well. And, by the way, I heard the President tell that crowd to walk "peacefully" down to the capitol and let their voices be heard. He did not incite violence. He certainly did not incite insurrection. He only incited lawful, peaceful, protest and he did it by presenting clear evidence of a fraudulent election and asking for measures to be taken to allow the country to fix it.

Let me close with a proposal, a proposal God told me to present. He told me to propose: "In person voting, verified ID, checks versus voter rolls. Where? Everywhere. If he won, he can win again. If not, his fraud is exposed. Who does jail time? All those involved – after thorough investigation."